Variables Influencing the Behavior Management Practices Implemented by High School Teachers of Students with Behavioral Difficulties Fonds de recherche Société et culture Québec 🖥 🖥



Line Massé, Ph. D.¹; Marie-France Nadeau, Ph. D.²; Nancy Gaudreau, Ph. D.³; Claudia Verret, Ph. D.⁴; Jeanne Lagacé-Leblanc, M.Sc.¹ ¹Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières; ²Université de Sherbrooke ; ³Université Laval; ⁴Université du Québec à Montréal

Introduction

- Students with emotional and behavioral difficulties (EBD) are recognized as the most difficult to integrate into regular classes (Hornby & Evans, 2014).
- Their integration represents a particular challenge for teachers, especially at the high school level (Baker, 2005) and for novice teachers (State, Kern, Strarosta, & Mukheriee, 2011)
- · Several American studies have demonstrated a gap between evidence-based practices to prevent and manage EBD and those implemented by teachers in the classroom (Gable, Tonelson, Sheth, Wilson, & Park, 2012; State, Harrison, Kern, & Lewis, 2017).

Objectives

- Among high school teachers :
- Examine the frequency of implementation of practices by participants and identify those that are most or least used:
- · Examine the influence of personal and contextual variables on each category of practice;
- · Explore the effects of these variables on proactive and reactive practice dimensions by controlling their covariance or concomitance.

Methods

Participants and Procedures

- N = 903 high school teachers (70.7 % female, 29.3 % male)
- · 23.6 % from special education; 76.3 % from general education.
- Recruited by email and responded to an online survey.

Measures

- Socio-demographic questionnaire (N = 17 items); · Management Practices for Behavioral Difficulties
- Inventory (Nadeau et al., 2018) Seven subscales (N = 68 items) and two dimensions
- (Proactive Practices or Reactive Practices). · Five point Likert-type scale from 1 (never) to 5 (very often).



Results

Frequency of Use of Practices



Influence of Personal and Contextual Variables on practice implementation

(See Table 1.)

Sector

Personal Variables (See Table 1.)

- Gender
- Significant differences only for proactive practices. Female teachers' implement > male teachers'
- Teaching experience
- Post hoc revealed only significant difference for proactive practices.
- Experienced teachers' implement (16-25 years and 26 and more) > novice teachers' (5 years and less)
- EBD initial training
- No significant difference.
- EBD inservice training
 - Post hoc revealed no significant difference.

Table 1 ANCOVA Results and Multiple Comparisons for Practice Dimensions by Personal and Contextual Variables Proactive practices **Reactive practices** ddl F η^2 ddl F .02 .03 Gender 1 17.06*** 01 3 2.64* Teaching experience З 3.81**

. .						
EBD initial training	3	1,72	.00	3	1.20	.00
EBD inservice training	3	2.77*	.01	3	1.30	.00
Sector	1	16.53***	.01	1	0.15	.00
EBD students in classroom	2	6.57***	.01	2	6.05**	.01
Participation in individualized intervention plan	2	20.58***	.04	2	.99	.00
Reactive practices	1	180.19***	.17	-	-	-
Proactive practices	-	-	-	1	180.19***	.17
Error	880	-	-	880	-	-
Total	896	-	-	896	-	-
R ²	.31			.21		
Adj. R ²	.29			.20		
Note. ***p < .001, **p < .01 *p < .05.						

teachers' in general education.

Number of EBD in the classroom

- Teachers with no EBD students implement more frequently proactive strategies than the others.
- frequently implement reactive practices.
- Teachers who have participated in at least one
- individualized intervention plan more frequently implement proactive strategies than teachers who have never participated.

Discussion

- Results indicate that teachers select more often proactive practices related to giving rules and instructions, planning and time management and giving positive reinforcement than those related to self-regulation of EBD students.
- Other studies revealed that teachers more frequently implement practices addressed to the entire class than individualized practices such as self-regulation (Gable et al., 2012; State et al., 2017) judged by teachers as time consuming (State et al., 2017).
- As recommended, teachers implemented proactive strategies more frequently than reactive strategies.
- Less recommended punitive practices are nevertheless still implemented frequently
- Teachers more frequently implement reactive strategies when their classes include more than five EBD students.
- Female teachers more frequently implement proactive practices than male teachers.
- Congruent with other studies which reveal that female teachers are more motivated than male teachers to implement best practices and use them more often (Schiefele, 2017).
- Novice teachers less frequently implemented proactive practices. Difficulties for these young teachers in establishing classroom
- management strategies conducive to learning (State et al., 2011).
- Training has almost no influence on implemented practices.
- As Gable et al. (2012) emphasized simply exposing teachers to different practices is not enough; guided practice and the presence of frequent feedback often proves necessary.
- Participation in individualized intervention has a positive influence on the implementation of proactive practices; li is also the variable whose effect is the largest.
- A form of coaching by professionals to better understand the needs of students and choose the best interventions.

Conclusion

- Rather positive portrayal of reported practices being implemented by secondary school teachers, recommended practices being implemented more frequently.
- Results suggest a need for training institutions and school boards to provide added resourcing and support for their teacher education programs in regards to EBD students.
- Better training in self-regulation practices and avoidance of less recommended ones.
- Importance to provide additional support to younger teachers, through various training and coaching practices such as mentoring, professional counselling and implementation of professional learning communities.
- Special education teachers may play a role in coaching teachers in general education.

References

Baker, P. H. (2005). Managing student behavior: How ready are teachers to meet the challenge? American Secondary Education, 33(3), 51-64. Gable, R. A., Tonelson, S. W., Sheth, M., Wilson, C., & Park, K. L. (2012). Importance, usage, and preparedness to implement evidence-based practices for students with remotional disabilities: A comparison of knowledge and skills of special education

evidence-based pradces for students with emotional disabilities. A comparison of knowledge and sixis of special education and general education teachers. Ecklarion & Teadmark of Onliden, 35(4), 495-519, doi: 10.3534ec.2012.000 Hortby, C., & Evans, B. (2014). Including students with significant social, emotional and behavioral difficulties in mainstream school settings, in P. Garner, J. M. Kauffman & J. Blind (Eds.). The Sage handbook of endotional and behavioral difficulties (2) ed., pp. 335-347). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.

l'Inventoire des protiques de gestion des comportements en classe. Dans M. Lapalme, A.-M. Tougas &M-J. Letarte (Eds.), Recherches qualitatives et quantitatives en sciences humanines et sociales (p. 89-112). Montréal, Q.: Editions JFB. Schiefele, U. (2017). Classroom management and mastery-oriented instruction as mediators of the effects of teacher motivation on

Anverse, u. (LALF, LearNum management and mastery-perieted instruction is mediators of the effects of tracher motivation student motivation. *Taching and Tacher Education*, 64, 113–156. doi:10.1016/j.tht.2017.02.004 Scheldle, U., & Schaffner, E. (2015). Read're interests, mastery pash, and self-efficary as predictors of instructional practices and student Scheldle, U., & Schaffner, E. (2015). Read're interests, mastery pash, and self-efficary as predictors of instructional practices and student State. T.M., Harmon, J.R., Kom, L., & Lowis, T.J. (2017). Feasibility and acceptability of datascom-based interventions for students with emotional/behavioral challenges at the high school level. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, *19*(1), 26-86. doi:10.1177/1998300716844459

3d: dui 10.1177/199500710040409 State, T. M., Harrison, J. R., Kern, L., & Lewis, T. J. (2017). Feasibility and acceptability of classroom-based interventions for students with emotional/behavioral challenges at the high school level. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 19(1), 26-36.

doi:10.1177/1098300716648459 State, T., Kern, L., Starosta, K., & Mukherjee, A. (2011). Elementary pre-service teacher preparation in the area of social, emotional, and behavioral problems. School Mental Health, 3(1), 13-23. doi:10.1007/s12310-010-9044-3

HICE - Hawaii International Conference on Education - January 2019

practices. Teachers' in special education implement >

Contextual Variables

Significant difference only for proactive

 η^2

.00

01

5

- Participation in individualized intervention plan
- Teachers with 5 or more EBD students more